

Abstract

adapting to the oceanic island environments.

Keywords

- adaptive introgression, adaptive radiation, *Callicarpa subpubescens*, cryptic
- species, hybrid zone, ongoing speciation, the Bonin Islands

Introduction

 Adaptive radiations are a pattern of ecological speciation in which a single species, over a relatively short period of time, differentiates into multiple closely related sympatric species showing morphological and physiological differentiation resulting from adaptation to contrasting environments or ecological niches (Gillespie et al. 2001; Givnish 1997; Schluter 2000). Adaptive radiations can involve ecological speciation, in which adaptation to different environments or ecological niches leads to the development of isolation barriers and reproductive isolation (Rundle and Nosil 2005; Schluter 2001). Reproductive isolation involves two broad types of isolation mechanism: pre- and post-mating barriers. The former prevents gene flow between different species or populations, for example by changing flower color (Bradshaw and Schemske 2003; Hoballah et al. 2007), morphology (Yang et al. 2007), odor (Okamoto et al. 2015), and/or flowering phenology (Martin et al. 2007) etc. In contrast, the latter prevents fertilization or the production of viable or fertile hybrid offspring after pollination (Case and Willis 2008; Sandstedt et al. 2021). Adaptive radiations are well suited for studying environmental adaptation during ecological speciation because they are characterized by the rapid emergence of many species that exhibit diverse environmental adaptations. Adaptive radiations have been shown to occur in many plants and animals, especially on oceanic islands (Baldwin 1997; Chiba and Cowie 2016; Grant and Grant 1996), probably due to the

determined (Turesson 1922a, b).

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

 We comprehensively sampled leaves of 602 and 114 trees from the Hahajima and Imoutojima Islands (hereafter collectively referred to as the Hahajima Islands), respectively (Fig. 1). In low-density areas, we sampled as many trees as possible, while in high-density areas, we selectively sampled several representative trees exhibiting typical morphologies. Trees included nine populations taken from the Hahajima Islands that were sampled by Sugai et al. (2019) (i.e., SHHA, SHHB, SHHC, SHHD, SHHE, SHHF, SHHG, SHIA, and SHIB). Tree locations were recorded using a GPS receiver (Garmin GPSmap 60CSx). Upon harvest, leaf samples were desiccated using silica gel for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from sampled leaves and seedlings using a

modified CTAB method. Genotypes of each sample were characterized by

the 17 EST-SSR markers listed in Table S1, which were developed for *C.*

subpubescens (Setsuko et al. 2018). PCR was carried out in 6 µl reaction

- mixtures containing ca. 1 ng genomic DNA, 2.5 µl Type-it Multiplex PCR
- 170 Master Mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and 0.2 µM of each primer. PCR
- 171 conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 min, then 35 or 38 cycles of 94°C for
- 172 30 s, 55°C or 60°C for 90 s, 72°C for 90 s, followed by final extension at
- 173 60°C for 30 min. PCR fragments were then separated using a 3130 Genetic
- Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) and genotyped using
- GeneMarker software (SoftGenetics, PA, USA).
-

Characteristics of EST-SSR markers

To check whether each EST-SSR locus met the requirements for population

genetic analyses, we used BayeScan 2.1 (1,000,000 simulations) (Foll 2012)

- to identify outlier loci, which we defined as those with excessively high or
- 181 low *F*_{ST} compared to neutral expectations. The existence of null alleles was

 We used the Bayesian clustering program STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 (Falush et al. 2007; Pritchard et al. 2000) to identify genetic groups of all sampled 716 *C. subpubescens* trees in the Hahajima Islands, then checked whether these genetic groups corresponded to phenotypic groups. This program assigns individuals to *K* subpopulations (clusters) based on an admixture model and a correlated allele frequencies model. We used runs involving 100,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations after a burn-in period of 50,000 iterations. The analysis was repeated 20 times for each value of *K* from 1 to 10. The optimal value of *K* was selected by assessing the likelihood distribution (mean Ln P(*K*)) and Δ*K* values (Evanno

 of trees that were categorized as core trees from a vegetation map (Fig. 1) sourced from the Biodiversity Center of Japan (1999-), and obtained elevation and slope data for all core trees using ArcGIS Desktop version 10.8.2 (ESRI Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Elevation and slope values were extracted from a 10 m mesh digital elevation model provided by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan. We used the medium and fine categories of the vegetation map, which indicated the dominant species, physiognomy, and geographical conditions. For the extracted vegetation categories of *C. subpubescens* on Hahajima Island, mesic scrub (i.e., a forest 223 height of $1-2$ m), dry scrub $(1-6$ m), and mesic forest $(4-20$ m) accounted for 69% of all total categories. The rest included 19% that was plantation forest and a remaining 10% that was *Freycinetia formosana* scrub and alien

 principal component analysis (PCA) to test the morphological aggregation of leaves of each genetic group.

 To characterize size distribution of trees of each genetic group, we measured the maximum stem length, the maximum diameter at breast height (DBH), and counted the number of stems per tree. Our samples included a total of 81 trees (i.e., 13–24 trees per group) that were categorized as core trees.

Pre- and post-mating reproductive barriers

 To determine whether pre-mating isolation exists among genetic groups, the flowering phenology of 57 trees (i.e., 9–18 trees per group) that were categorized as core trees were investigated. The number of flowering cymes of each tree was counted once a month for eight months (i.e., May 2014 to January 2015).

 To determine whether post-mating isolation exists among genetic groups, we conducted artificial inter-crossings via pollination between different genetic groups, and intra-crossing via pollination within the same genetic groups. These experiments used plants derived from cutting- propagated seedlings raised in a greenhouse. For inter-cross pollination, a total 16 cymes from five maternal plants of group D were crossed with two 268 paternal plants of group G $(G \times D)$ (Table S2, see Table 1 for group names). For intra-cross pollination, a total of 18 cymes from five maternal plants of

 During the course of mortality tracking, we compared differences in soil moisture requirements among groups. In addition to seedlings 285 derived from artificial crossings (i.e., $G \times D$ and $D \times D$), natural pollinated seeds of group G were sown and grown under the same conditions. Note that these comparisons were specifically limited to the offspring of artificial 288 crosses $(G \times D$ and $D \times D)$ and seeds of group G. Subsequently, an EST- SSR analysis was conducted on seedlings of group G using the same STRUCTURE method as applied to the 716 trees in the Hahajima Islands, 291 and only seedlings assigned with a $Q \ge 0.9$ to group G were included in this

 characterized by short petioles and many hairs. Finally, core trees of M had moderate-sized leaves and a moderate number of hairs compared to the other groups.

 The maximum stem length of core trees significantly differed 384 among all genetic group pairs except between groups G and M $(p < 0.05$, Fig. 5a). In addition, DBH of core trees was significantly different among 386 groups except between groups G and D, and G and M $(p < 0.05$, Fig. 5b). The largest maximum stem length and DBH value were found in group T (mean: 7.1 m and 9.8 cm, respectively), followed by M (mean: 3.5 m and 5.5 cm, respectively), G (mean: 3.0 m and 2.7 cm, respectively), and D (mean: 1.5 m and 0.7 cm, respectively). The number of stems within individual trees was significantly larger in group D (mean: 7.7) than other groups (mean: 1.1–1.5; *p* < 0.05, Fig. 5c).

Pre- and post-mating reproductive isolation among groups

 The main flowering times of core trees of G and M were almost the same from June to July and showed the same peaks in July. The flowering time of core trees of T was from July to December with a peak in October (Fig. 6). The flowering time of core trees of D was long, lasting from July to January (except in September, probably due to the above-average temperatures from late August to early September), with two peaks in August and November. This period overlapped with most trees of the other groups. Flowering

-
- **Discussion**

Classifications and properties of ecotypes of *Callicarpa subpubescens*

There was a clear correspondence between genetic groups and phenotypic

Sugai et al. (2019) reported the existence of three genetic groups in

Moreover, the maximum stem length and DBH of ecotype M was also

469 intermediate between ecotypes G and T (Fig. 5).

Pre- and post-mating isolation among ecotypes

 The main flowering times between ecotypes G and M were in summer and mostly overlapped, while those between ecotypes G and T, as well as M and T, generally did not overlap, except for some trees (Fig. 6). The genetic distances between G and M are small, and between G and T, and between M and T are greater (Fig. 2d, Sugai et al. 2019), suggesting that the magnitude of flowering time differences between ecotypes contributes to the genetic distances between them, as has been shown by other studies (Gustafsson and Lönn 2003, Stanton and Shore 1997). However, despite the generally different flowering times between ecotypes T and G, there is some overlap in certain trees. Considering the occurrence of ecotype M, which is a hybrid origin between the summer-flowering ecotype G and the autumn-flowering ecotype T, it can be inferred that pre-mating isolation is incomplete even among ecotypes with different flowering times.

 On the other hand, the flowering time of ecotype D was long, ranging from summer to winter and generally overlaps with the flowering times of other ecotypes. However, in the STRUCTURE analysis, individuals of ecotype D showed little admixture with other ecotypes (Fig. 2c), and the genetic distance between ecotype D and other ecotypes was great (Fig. 2d,

Adaptation of ecotypes to local environment

Ecotype D is mainly distributed in dry scrub locations on steep cliffs, while

the other ecotypes are distributed in mesic forests and mesic scrub.

510 Seedlings of intra-cross $D \times D$ wilted at lower soil moisture content than

core seedling of G (Fig. S4d). The maximum stem length and DBH of

layer is covered by alien trees. Leaf hairs are known to reduce

photoinhibition caused by strong sunlight (Ripley et al. 1999). Growing

ecotype G in a sunny location causes leaf burn and atrophy, while no such

- phenomenon occurs in the other three hairy ecotypes (Setsuko S. personal
- observation). Taken together, these findings suggest that ecotype G is clearly

 not adapted to full sun exposure. Thus, ecotype G and other hairy ecotypes are considered to have undergone adaptation to the contrasting light intensity regimes that characterize the canopy and understory environments of mesic forests.

 Ecotypes M and T mostly occur in separate habitats, although a few ecotype T plants are distributed within the distribution area of ecotype M. Ecotype M was predominantly distributed along high-elevation mountain ridges in mesic scrub, which is characterized by lower forest height, or at the edge of mesic forests. Ecotype M constitutes the forest canopy of mesic scrub or mesic forests with low tree height (i.e., at most 4 m excluding alien trees), while ecotype T constitutes the forest canopy of mesic forests (which can be as high as 8 m or more).

 Since ecotype M is assumed to be derived from the hybridization of ecotypes G and T, the distribution of ecotype M can therefore be considered as a hybrid zone. This hybrid zone likely formed because ecotype M is adaptive in a new niche, mesic scrub, in which the other ecotypes had not previously dominated. Conversely, ecotype M is not adapted to the habitats of its parents (i.e., ecotypes G and T). Mesic scrub is distributed in areas with frequent cloud cover in areas above 350 m elevation on Hahajima Island (Shimizu 2001), and frequent cloud cover tends to reduce the amount of sunlight (Loope and Giambelluca 1998). Ecotype M may therefore be able to dominate in the mesic scrub because ecotype M is more shade-

 In summary, ecotype D is adapted to dry environments, while ecotype T is adapted to the canopy of mesic forests, characterized by humid environments. This suggests that adaptive radiation has led to the ecological divergence of *C. subpubescens* in the Hahajima Islands. Additionally, ecotype G, originating from the Chichijima Islands, is adapted to the understory of mesic forests, a humid and dim environment where other ecotypes are not present. The ecotype M, derived from the hybrid between ecotypes G and T, formed a hybrid zone in the mesic scrub. The presence of four ecotypes within a single tree species on small islands of approximately 20 km² in size may be attributed not only to adaptive radiation, but also to

- hybridization facilitated by immigration of allopatrically differentiate
- ecotypes from adjacent islands and subsequent secondary contact among
- ecotypes.
-

Acknowledgements

Author Contributions

 SS, KS, KH, and HK designed the research. SS, KS, KH, and HK sampled materials. SS performed all the laboratory work. SS, KS, and IT performed data analysis. All co-authors discussed the results. SS and IT wrote the paper.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data Archiving

- Genotype data of EST-SSRs used for this study are available from
- supporting information.

References

- Chhatre VE, Evans LM, DiFazio SP, Keller SR (2018) Adaptive introgression and
- maintenance of a trispecies hybrid complex in range-edge populations of *Populus*.
- Mol Ecol 27(23): 4820-4838
- Chiba S, Cowie RH (2016) Evolution and Extinction of Land Snails on Oceanic
- Islands. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47(1): 123-141
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals
- using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol Ecol 14(8): 2611-2620
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2007) Inference of population structure using
- multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4):
- 574-578
- Foll M (2012) BayeScan v2. 1 User manual. Ecology 20: 1450-1462
- Gillespie RG, Howarth FG, Roderick GK (2001) Adaptive radiation. In: Levin SA (ed),
- Encyclopedia of biodiversity 1. Academic Press, New York, pp 25-44
- Givnish T (1997) Adaptive radiation and molecular systematics: issues and approaches.
- Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Grant BR, Grant PR (1996) High survival of Darwin's finch hybrids: Effects of beak
- morphology and diets. Ecology 77(2): 500-509
- Gustafsson S, Lönn M (2003). Genetic differentiation and habitat preference of
- flowering-time variants within *Gymnadenia conopsea*. Heredity (Edinb) 91(3): 284- 292
-
- Hoballah ME, Gübitz T, Stuurman J, Broger L, Barone M, Mandel T et al (2007)
- Single gene–mediated shift in pollinator attraction in Petunia. The Plant Cell 19(3):
- 779-790
- Ilyas M, Nisar M, Khan N, Hazrat A, Khan AH, Hayat K et al (2021) Drought
- tolerance strategies in plants: A mechanistic approach. J Plant Growth Regul 40(3):

926-944

503-517

- multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155: 945-959
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) An exact test for population differentiation. Evolution:
- 1280-1283
- Ripley BS, Pammenter NW, Smith VR (1999) Function of leaf hairs revisited: The hair
- layer on leaves *Arctotheca populifolia* reduces photoinhibition, but leads to higher
- leaf temperatures caused by lower transpiration rates. J Plant Physiol 155(1): 78-85
- 714 Rousset F (2008) genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software
- for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8(1): 103-106
- Rundle HD, Nosil P (2005) Ecological speciation. Ecol Lett 8(3): 336-352
- Sandstedt GD, Wu CA, Sweigart AL (2021) Evolution of multiple postzygotic barriers between species of the *Mimulus tilingii* complex. Evolution 75(3): 600-613
- Schluter D (2000) The ecology of adaptive radiation. Oxford Universiity Press, Oxford
- 720 Schluter D (2001) Ecology and the origin of species. Trends Ecol Evol 16(7): 372-380
- Seehausen O (2004) Hybridization and adaptive radiation. Trends Ecol Evol 19(4):
- 198-207
- Seehausen O, Takimoto G, Roy D, Jokela J (2008). Speciation reversal and biodiversity
- dynamics with hybridization in changing environments. Mol Ecol 17(1): 30-44.
- Setsuko S, Narita S, Tamaki I, Sugai K, Nagano A-J, Ujino-Ihara T et al (2023)
- Adaptive radiation of the Callicarpa genus in the Bonin Islands revealed through
- double-digest restriction site–associated DNA sequencing analysis. Authorea doi:
- 10.22541/au.169865467.72711081/v1
- Setsuko S, Sugai K, Uchiyama K, Katoh S, Kato H, Narita S et al (2018) Development
- of microsatellite markers for *Callicarpa subpubescens* (Lamiaceae), an endemic
- species of the Bonin Islands. J For Res 23(6): 393-397
- Shimizu Y (1992) Origin of *Distylium* dry forest and occurrence of endangered species

in the Bonin Islands. Pac Sci 46(2): 179-196

- Islands. Tokyo Metropolitan Office, Tokyo, pp 245-281
- Tsujii Y, Onoda Y, Izuno A, Isagi Y, Kitayama K (2016) A quantitative analysis of
- phenotypic variations of *Metrosideros polymorpha* within and across populations
- along environmental gradients on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Oecologia 180(4): 1049-
- 1059
- Tsuneki S, Kato H, Murakami N (2014) Ecological and genetic differentiation in
- *Persea boninensis* (Lauraceae) endemic to the Bonin (Ogasawara) Islands. Plant Species Biol 29(1): 16-24
- Turesson G (1922). The species and the variety as ecological units. Hereditas 3(1):
- 100-113.
- Turesson G (1922). The genotypical response of the plant species to the habitat.
- Hereditas 3(3): 211-350.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER:
- software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol
- Ecol Notes 4(3): 535-538
- Yang C-F, Gituru RW, Guo Y-H (2007) Reproductive isolation of two sympatric
- louseworts, *Pedicularis rhinanthoides* and *Pedicularis longiflora* (Orobanchaceae):
- how does the same pollinator type avoid interspecific pollen transfer? Biol J Linn
- 776 Soc 90(1): 37-48
- Yelmen B, Marnetto D, Molinaro L, Flores R, Mondal M, Pagani L (2021). Improving
- delection detection with population branch statistic on admixed populations.
- Genome Biol Evol 13(4).
-

Figure and table legends

maximum temperatures over the past 10 years from June 2014 to January

2015 on Hahajima Island (Japan Meteorological Agency 2024) (b). (a) Each

Axis 1 (11.2%)

b)

Ecotype Ecotype Forest type and ID habitat Elevation Slope Forest height rPPFD Leaf hair density Flowering time Tree size Growth form (Range, average (m)) (Range, average $(°)$ (Average (m)) (Average $(%)$ (Average density of the upper and lower surfaces) (Range, peak) (Average max. stem length (m), Average max. stem DBH (cm)) (Average no. stems) Glabrescent G Understory of mesic forest (13–424, 158.5) $(3-30,$ 17.8) High Dark Glabrescent Summer Middle Tree (7.8) (21.7) $(0.5, 0.4)$ (Jun.–Jul., Jul.) $(3.0 \text{ m}, 2.72 \text{ cm})$ (1.3) Tall T Crown of mesic forest (215–427, 302.2) $(3-41,$ 17.3) High Bright Many Autumn Tall Tree (7.9) (88.0) $(50.8, 52.6)$ (Jul.–Dec., Oct.) $(7.1 \text{ m}, 9.97 \text{ cm})$ (1.1) Dwarf D Crown of dry scrub $(101–279,$ 186.4) $(4-37,$ 25.1) Low Bright Many Summer-Winter Dwarf Bush (2.1) (88.1) $(32.3, 42.1)$ (Jul.–Jan., Aug & Nov.) $(1.5 \text{ m}, 0.68 \text{ cm})$ (7.7) Middle M Crown of mesic forest and mesic scrub (321–456, 394.0) $(4-41,$ 19.4) Low Bright Middle Summer Middle Tree (3.9) (85.7) $(16.9, 13.1)$ (Jun.–Aug., Jul) $(3.5 \text{ m}, 5.52 \text{ cm})$ (1.5)

Table 1. Name, ID, and characteristics of each ecotype